

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/771,158	02/04/2004	Hiroshi Kawazoe	04012	9121
7:	590 09/30/2004		EXAM	INER
Ira J. Schultz			KIM, CHRISTOPHER S	
DENNISON, S	CHULTZ, DOUGHERTY	Y & MACDONALD		
Suite 105			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1727 King Stree			3752	
Alexandria, VA	A 22314-2700			

DATE MAILED: 09/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ı	Application No.	Applicant(s)	A			
Office Action Summer	10/771,158	KAWAZOE ET AL	$U \cup V$			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Christopher S. Kim	3752				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addr	ess			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comi	munication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 Fe	ebruary 2004.					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar			nerits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	, ,					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 February 2004</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-	·152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ¡	oriority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-	-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority		d in this National Sta	age			
application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list o						
Occurre attached detailed Office action for a list of	The certified copies not received	1.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary (l	PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Dat	e				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/4/04</u> .	5)	tent Application (PTO-15	2)			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **Drawings**

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "jet opening downstream channel has a region in which its diameter is substantially double the diameter of the jet opening" recited in claim 3 and similarly recited in claims 6-9 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/771,158

Art Unit: 3752

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the diameter" in line 10. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 3 recites "its diameter" in line 1. It appears to be a double inclusion of the "diameter of the jet opening downstream channel" recited in claim 1.

Claim 3 recites the limitation "the diameter" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 4 recites the limitation "the diameter" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 6 recites the limitation "the diameter" in line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 7 recites the limitation "the diameter" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 8 recites the limitation "the diameter" in line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 9 recites the limitation "the region" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 9 recites the limitation "the diameter" in lines 4-5. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

In claim 9, the recitation "ensuring satisfactory diffusion" in line 10 is a subjective standard. The metes and bounds of the claim cannot be determined.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-4, 7 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Winter (5,314,122).

Winter discloses a fuel injector comprising: a fuel passage 4; a valve 15; a valve seat 8; a jet opening 9; a jet opening downstream channel 11.

6. Claims 1-6, 7 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Huang et al. (5,054,691).

Huang discloses a fuel injector comprising: a fuel passage 9; a valve 11; a valve seat 31, 32; a jet opening 34; a jet opening downstream channel 121.

7. Claims 1, 2, 5 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ricco (6,059,205).

Ricco discloses a fuel injector comprising: a fuel passage 32; a valve 48; a valve seat 50; a jet opening 44; a jet opening downstream channel 38,36.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 3, 4, 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ricco (6,059,205).

Ricco discloses the limitations of the claimed invention with the exception of the jet opening downstream channel 38 diameter being double the jet opening 44 diameter. Ricco discloses, in column 2, lines 50-52, that control chamber 38 has a calibrated discharge conduit 44. Doubling the diameter of chamber 38 to that of conduit 44 is a mere optimization of workable parameters. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to doubled the diameter of chamber 38 to that of opening 44, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher S. Kim whose telephone number is (703) 308-8336. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 6:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Y. Mar can be reached on (703) 308-2087. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christopher S. Kim Primary Examiner Art Unit 3752